09/138,735



UNITEL STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231

The philip Caramanic (a) All Caramanic (b) (b) (a) (b) (b) (b) (c)		APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTOR	NEY DOCKET NO.	l
INTERVIEW SUMMARY INTERVIEW SUM							
ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 28 DATE MAILED: INTERVIEW SUMMARY All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Philip Caraman (9) (2) Marke Navarro (4) Date of Interview 6/5/03 Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant supplicant's representative). Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes Pho If yes, brief description: Agreement was reached. Swas not reached. BEST AVAILABLE COPY dentification of prior art discussed: All pending become was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's will consider analysis of the clarity of the consider analysis of the analysis of th				·			
INTERVIEW SUMMARY INTERVIEW REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION S NOT MAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). It a reply to the last Office on has are ready been filed, ASIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THEINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). It a reply to the last Office on has are ready been filed, ASIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THEINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). It a reply to the last Office on has are ready been filed, ASIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THEINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). It a reply to the last Office on has are ready been filed, ASIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THEINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). It a reply to the last Office on has are ready been filed, ASIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THEINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). It a reply to the last Office on has are ready been filed, ASIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THEINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). It a reply to the last Office on has are re					EXA	MINER	 .
INTERVIEW SUMMARY INTERVIEW SUM							1
INTERVIEW SUMMARY INTERVIEW SUM				٠.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER]
INTERVIEW SUMMARY INTERVIEW SUM				•		28	١. ٠
INTERVIEW SUMMARY Applicant Applicant			· .				
Applicant (applicant, applicants representative, PTO personnel):		,	INTER	RVIEW SLIMMARY	DATE MAILED:	•	
The philip Caramanic (3) 2) Mark NAVARRO (4) Date of Interview 6/5/03 Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to personal to personal to personal (copy is given to personal				WIEW COMMANI	•		
2) MARK NAVARRO (4) Date of Interview 6/5/03 Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to papplicant Stapplicant's representative). Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description: Agreement was reached.	VI pa	. 1		nel):			
2) MARK NAVARRO (4) Date of Interview 6/5/03 Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to papplicant Stapplicant's representative). Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description: Statistic St	1)_	Philip Cara	manic9	(3)			
Date of Interview	2)	· '		(4)			_
Specification of prior and discussed: Moderate Personal (copy is given to applicant Applicant's representative).)ate				• .		_
BEST AVAILABLE COPY Claim(s) discussed: All perding Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Consider Anading The Claims To recire "Consisting or "I as Opposed To "Consisting support For probes of To consider Anading The Claims and The Consider Anading The Claims To recire "Consisting or "I found for the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant (s) Consider Anading The Claims To recire "Consisting or "I as Opposed To "Consisting or "I as Opposed To "Consisting essentially of "Applicant (s) until fourther consider Filing support for probes of To consecutive Accleants Affiliant description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable nates the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION S NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office cition has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO THE				 .		•	
BEST AVAILABLE COPY Claim(s) discussed:	ype	: LJ Telephonic LJ Televide	o Conference Personal (c	opy is given to applicant	applicant's represen	tative).	-
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's with a consider anadima the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be tatached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be tatached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be tatached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be tatached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be tatached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be tatached.) The source of the interview. The source of the substance of the interview. The source of the interv	Exhil	oit shown or demonstration cor	nducted: Yes No If ye	s, brief description:			
BEST AVAILABLE COPY dentification of prior art discussed: All of record Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's wind consider anading the claims to recite "consisting or " as opposed to consisting essentially or " applicant's will further consicler filing support for probes of the consecutive nuclearists Afflicant's further emphasized that Birtett Does not anticipate (a consecutive nuclearists) Afflicant's further emphasized that Birtett Does not anticipate (a consecutive nuclearists) Afflicant's further emphasized that Birtett Does not anticipate (a consecutive nuclearists) Afflicant's further emphasized that Birtett Does not anticipate (a consecutive nuclearists) Afflicant's further emphasized that Birtett Does not anticipate (a consecutive nuclearists) Afflicant's further emphasized that Birtett Does not anticipate (a consecutive nuclearists) Afflicant's further emphasized that Birtett Does not anticipate (a consecutive nuclearists) Afflicant's further emphasized that Birtett Does not anticipate (a consecutive nuclearists) Afflicant's further emphasized that Birtett Does not anticipate (a consecutive nuclearist) Afflicant's further emphasized that Birtett Does not anticipate (a consecutive nuclearist) Afflicant's further further does not an anticip			, .	•			_
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant (S will Consider Anading the Claims to recite "Consisting or "" as opposed to "Consisting essentially or " Applicant (S will Further Consider Filing Supert for probes of 7 consecutive nuclearish Afflicant (S further emphasized that Bistett Does not anticipate (Consecutive nuclearish established). A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable nust be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be trached.) This not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Sinct Walved and Must include the Substance of the interview. Sinct Walved and Must include the Substance of the interview. Sinct Walved and Must include the Substance of the interview. Sinct Walved and Must include the Substance of the interview. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office ction has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE				<u> </u>	7,147,121,222		_
Consider anading the claims to recite "consisting or " as opposed to consisting essentially of." Applicant's will further consider filing support for probes of 7 consecutive nuclearish Afflicant's further emphasized that Birtett Does not anticipate (conscious nuclearishes). A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable nust be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be ttached.) On the second of the substance of the interview. In less the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION is NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office ction has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE							_
Consider anading the claims to recite "consisting or " as opposed to consisting essentially of." Applicant's will further consider filing support for probes of 7 consecutive nuclearish Afflicant's further emphasized that Birtett Does not anticipate (Consecutive nuclearishes). A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable nust be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be ittached.) That is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE)esc	ription of the general nature of	f what was agreed to if an agre	eement was reached, or any oth	er comments: AA	olicant's u	<u> 1</u> '11
Afflicants support for probes of 7 consecutive nucleants of the Afflicants for probes of 7 consecutive nucleants of the Afflicants for probes of 7 consecutive nuclearists of the Afflicants for provide a support of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable nust be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be ttached.) This is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Inless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office ction has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE							
Afflicantly support for probes of 7 consecutive nuclearity and Afflicantly further emphasized that Birtett Does not anticipate of Consecutive nuclearity of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable nust be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) That is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE		11	٠	2 11			_
Africant securive emphasized that Birtest Does was an incipare to consecurive and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable nust be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be ittached.) This is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Inless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE		pposed to	CONSISTING ESSE	entially or.	Applicant's	will furthe	<u>-</u>
Africant securive emphasized that Birtest Does was an incipare to consecurive and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable nust be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be ittached.) This is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Inless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE		ousicles Filing	support for	r probes of	7 consecut	ive Nucleon	12/0
Interpretable of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be intached.) Little of the interview. Interpretable of the substance of the interview. Interpretable of the interpretable of the interview. Interpretable of the interpretable of the interpretable of the interpretable	f	APlicanily FUTT	est emphasized	that Biotest	Does woi	Auticipare	
Inless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION S NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office ction has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	nusi	be attached. Also, where no	האכלפטקי ל פק and a copy of the amendments copy of the amendments which	, if available, which the examine n would render the claims allow	er agreed would render able is available, a sum	the claims allowable mary thereof must be	-
Inless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION S NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office ction has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	D	Ht is not necessary for applica	ant to provide a separate recor	d of the substance of the intervi	iew.		
·	Inle: S No	ss the paragraph above has be DT WAIVED AND MUST INCL n has are ready been filed, AP	een checked to indicate to the UDE THE SUBSTANCE OF TI PLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MOI	contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEI HE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP S	N REPLY TO THE LAST Section 713.04). If a rep	ly to the last Office	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made f Record

Except as otherwise provided, a complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111 and 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of 9.1.2. Dusiness to be transacted in writing. On business with the Fatent of Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates the or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, or resulting in an examiner's amendment that fully sets forth the agreement are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number of the application
- Name of applicant
- -Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.)
- -The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form witl not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter to complete the reply and thereby avoid-abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.